

**LOWER SUSQUEHANNA RIVER RESERVOIR SYSTEM MODELING ENHANCEMENTS
PEER REVIEW CALL NO.4
TUESDAY AUGUST 16, 2016 11:00-12:00**

ATTENDEES:

Tim Sullivan (GSE)	Kim Long (Exelon)	Jon Viducich (WEST)
Mark Velleux (HDR)	Jim Fitzpatrick (HDR)	Bruce Michael (MDNR)
Steve Scott (Reviewer)	James Martin (Reviewer)	Tom Sullivan (GSE)
Gary Lemay (GSE)	Matthew Trommatter (CRC)	

NOTES:

Tim Sullivan kicked off the call by reviewing the agenda.

The first agenda item was to revisit the action items from the last call. Action items noted included: 1) determining review schedule; 2) modeling status update; 3) finalizing the peer review guiding questions; 4) setting up a conference call with a subset of the group to discuss the results of the UMCES work.

Tim provided an overview of the proposed schedule including when deliverables from the peer reviewers would be due. The group agreed on the proposed schedule laid out. Tim agreed to circulate the final review schedule to the team following the call [**ACTION ITEM**].

Tim then led discussion on the updated guiding questions the peer reviewers will use when reviewing the draft reports from the Exelon team. The group agreed on and finalized the updated list of questions. Tim to provide final set of questions to CRC so that they can be posted to the website [**ACTION ITEM**].

Jon Viducich then provided an update on the HEC-RAS modeling efforts as well as an overview of the draft HEC-RAS report. Steve Scott noted that the responsiveness matrix included with the WEST report was very helpful and requested that HDR follow a similar format [**ACTION ITEM**]. Mark Velleux and Jim Fitzpatrick then provided updates on the HDR modeling effort. It was noted that while some UMCES data had been received by the Exelon team, there were still a number of datasets outstanding which were delaying modeling efforts. A potential call with Jim, Damian Brady, and James Martin to review the UMCES data already received was then discussed [**ACTION ITEM**].

The group then discussed miscellaneous outstanding items, including: discussing when the next full group call would likely be (not until after review of at least the WEST HEC-RAS model [**ACTION ITEM**]), and the website hosted by CRC. The group was asked to review the website and provide comments to CRC as soon as possible [**ACTION ITEM**].

Next steps and action items from this call were then discussed and the call was adjourned.

ACTION ITEMS:

1. Tim to circulate final review schedule to group
2. Tim to circulate final set of guiding questions to the group. CRC to post to website.
3. HDR to include responsiveness matrix similar to the one found in the draft HEC-RAS report in their reports prior to distribution to the peer reviewers.

4. The review team will review the CRC website and provide any comments to Bill Ball or Matthew Trommatter
5. Jim to regroup with Damian and James about a potential call to discuss UMCES results
6. Tim to schedule the next full group call, likely not until HEC-RAS report review has been completed